

No. EDN-H (21) B (15) 06/2010-All Type of Awards-
Directorate of Higher Education
Himachal Pradesh
Telephone No. 0177-2653120, 2653575, 2653386, Extn. 234, Fax: 0177-2812882
E-mail: dir.edu@rediffmail.com & genbr@rediffmail.com

Dated: Shimla-171001 the

From:- The Director of Higher Education
Himachal Pradesh

To

All the Deputy Directors of Higher Education
in Himachal Pradesh


Subject: - Government Sectoral Innovation Committee (GoSinC) Qualifying &
Evaluation Criteria for security of Innovative Proposal Received..

Memo:

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of letter No. EDN-B-F (10)5/2013-
dated: 22.09.2015 received from the Additional Secretary (Education) to the Government of
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2 on the subject cited above.

In this connection, you are hereby directed to circulate the Qualifying &
Evaluation Criteria for security of Innovative amongst the field functionaries under your
jurisdiction under intimation to this Directorate.

KINDLY TREAT IT AS MOST URGENT




(Dr. B. L. Vinta)
Jt. Director of Higher Education
Himachal Pradesh

Endst. No. Even Dated: Shimla-171001 the

Copy to:-

- 1 The Additional Chief Secretary (Education) to the Government of
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2 w.r.t. his ^{letter} application No. referred above.
- 2 The Technical Officer (Computer/IT Cell), Directorate of Higher Education, Himachal
Pradesh is hereby requested to upload the same on departmental website.
- 3 Guard file.



Jt. Director of Higher Education
Himachal Pradesh



No. EDN-B-F(10)5/2013
Government of Himachal Pradesh
Department of Higher Education

From

The Addl. Chief Secretary (Edu) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh

To

The Director, Higher Education,
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171001
Dated: Shimla-171002

22-9-2015

Subject:

**Government Sectoral Innovation Committee (GoSinC)
Qualifying & Evaluation Criteria for scrutiny of Innovative
Proposal Received.**

Sir,

I am directed to enclose herewith a photo copy of letter No. PER (AR) F(4)-14/2013 dated 24-08-2015 (alongwith enclosures) received from Secretary (AR) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh on the subject cited above and to request you to take appropriate necessary action in the matter accordingly.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
Additional Secretary (Hr.Edu) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh.

P. A. Cell	521170
DHE.....	
23 SEP 2015	
Branch	<i>[Signature]</i>

29/9

*Sr. Secy
28/9/15*

5336125

4-9-15

No. PER (AR)F(4) -14/2013
Government of Himachal Pradesh
Administrative Reforms Organization

From The Secretary (AR) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh

To All the Administrative Secretaries to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh.

Dated Shimla-2, the 24th August, 2015

Subject:- Government Sectoral Innovation Committee (GoSinC) Qualifying &
Evaluation Criteria for scrutiny of Innovative proposals received.

Sir,

With reference to the subject cited above I am to say that the
Innovations in governance devised by government departments, Boards & Corporations will
be scrutinized for recommending for State Innovation awards by Planning Department, once
they announce this scheme through their advertisement.

Keeping the wide spectrum of activities undertaken by government sector
the need for evolving a general scrutiny criteria was felt. In order to assist your respective
departmental Innovation sub Committees to get Innovative proposals formulated from field
offices and then test them to furnish evidence of ^{writing} efficiency and originality a
template based on the State Innovation Council objectives has been devised by the GoSinC.
This outlines the parameters, on which govt. proposals will be scrutinised before
recommending them for awards to the State Innovation Council in Planning Department.

A copy of this Criteria template is enclosed for ready reference to
assist in the formulation of innovative proposals. This Criteria may kindly be shared within
your department offices and with Boards and Corporations within your jurisdiction.

Enclosure: Copy of the GoSinC
Scrutiny Criteria
Template.

Yours faithfully,

(Purnima Chauhan)
Secretary (AR) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh
Telephone No.0177-2621715

Handwritten initials

2/9

ASCD

21/9/15
ASCD
ASPS

Circular
 132
 56

Government sector innovation committee(GoSInC) Qualifying& Evaluation Criteria for scrutiny of innovative proposals received

No.	Qualifying Criteria(All proposals have to first qualify on these to be evaluated further)	Points
1.	Evidence Based	Qualifying
2.	Originality	-do-
No.	Evaluation Criteria (weightage to be allocated by GoSInC:)	Points
1.	Improved Service Delivery	
	Time Efficiency Cost Efficiency Simplification Accessibility	
2.	Transparency	
3.	Presentation	
4.	Employment Generation	
5.	Scalability	
6.	Use of Local Methodology/Technology	
5.	Replicability	

Criteria fields Defined:

A. Qualifying Criteria

1. Evidence based- each of the evaluation criteria below will be weighted on the basis of evidence on each performance parameter in part B.
2. Originality-Even replication of available best practices after customizing them to local needs will constitute originality.

B. Evaluation Criteria

1. **Improved Service Delivery-** Is the basic objective of proposals being sent to GoSinC innovation committee that has been entrusted with the task of scrutinizing innovative good governance proposals received from HP govt depts./ boards/ corporations. Hence the primary impact of the innovative proposal should reflect improvement in public service rendering on parameters of:
 - a) Time Efficiency
 - b) Cost Efficiency
 - c) Simplification
 - d) Accessibility
2. Transparency
3. A 10 minutes power point presentation of the proposal to be made by the HoD to the GoSinC innovation committee.

It may be rather difficult to furnish evidence of the following parameters in a limited time frame. However judging the innovative proposal received on the following criteria would be eminently desirable. Hence the criteria below has also been included in the evaluation parameters

4. Employment Generation of the proposal.
5. Scalability of the proposal
6. Use of Local Methodology/Technology of the proposal.
7. Replicability of the proposal.